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Every naturalist who has directed his attention to the subject of the geographical distribution of animals and plants, must have been interested in the singular facts which it presents. Many of these facts are quite different from what would have been anticipated, and have hitherto been considered as highly curious, but quite inexplicable. None of the explanations attempted from the time of Linnaeus are now considered at all satisfactory; none of them have given a cause sufficient to account for the facts known at the time, or comprehensive enough to include all the new facts which have since been, and are daily being added. Of late years, however, a great light has been thrown upon the subject by geological investigations, which have shown that the present state of the earth, and the organisms now inhabiting it, are but the last stage of a long and uninterrupted series of changes which it has undergone, and consequently, that to endeavour to explain and account for its present condition without any reference to those changes (as has frequently been done) must lead to very imperfect and erroneous conclusions.

The facts proved by geology are briefly these:—That during an immense, but unknown period, the surface of the earth has undergone successive changes; land has sunk beneath the ocean, while fresh land has risen up from it; mountain chains have been elevated; islands have been formed into continents, and continents submerged till they have become islands; and these changes have taken place, not once merely, but perhaps hundreds, perhaps thousands of times:—That all these operations have been more or less
continuous, but unequal in their progress, and during the whole series the organic life of the earth has undergone a corresponding alteration. This alteration also has been gradual, but complete; after a certain interval not a single species existing which had lived at the commencement of the period. This complete renewal of the forms of life also appears to have occurred several times:—That from the last of the Geological epochs to the present or Historical epoch, the change of organic life has been gradual: the first appearance of animals now existing can in many cases be traced, their numbers gradually increasing in the more recent formations, while other species continually die out and disappear, so that the present condition of the organic world is clearly derived by a natural process of gradual extinction and creation of species from that of the latest geological periods. We may therefore safely infer a like gradation and natural sequence from one geological epoch to another.

[[p. 185]] Now, taking this as a fair statement of the results of geological inquiry, we see that the present geographical distribution of life upon the earth must be the result of all the previous changes, both of the surface of the earth itself and of its inhabitants. Many causes no doubt have operated of which we must ever remain in ignorance, and we may therefore expect to find many details very difficult of explanation, and in attempting to give one, must allow ourselves to call into our service geological changes which it is highly probable may have occurred, though we have no direct evidence of their individual operation.

The great increase of our knowledge within the last twenty years, both of the present and past history of the organic world, has accumulated a body of facts which should afford a sufficient foundation for a comprehensive law embracing and explaining them all, and giving a direction to new researches. It is about ten years since the idea of such a law suggested itself to the writer of this paper, and he has since taken every opportunity of testing it by all the newly ascertained facts with which he has become acquainted, or has been able to observe himself. These have all served to convince him of the correctness of his hypothesis. Fully to enter into such a subject would occupy much space, and it is only in consequence of some views having been lately promulgated, he believes in a wrong direction, that he now ventures to present his ideas to the public, with only such obvious illustrations of the arguments and results as occur to him in a place far removed from all means of reference and exact information.

The following propositions in Organic Geography and Geology give the main facts on which the hypothesis is founded.

**Geography.**

1. Large groups, such as classes and orders, are generally spread over the whole earth, while smaller ones, such as families and genera, are frequently confined to one portion, often to a very limited district.
2. In widely distributed families the genera are often limited in range; in widely
distributed genera, well-marked groups of species are peculiar to each geographical
district.

3. When a group is confined to one district, and is rich in species, it is almost
invariably the case that the most closely allied species are found in the same locality or in
closely adjoining localities, and that therefore the natural sequence of the species by
affinity is also geographical.

4. In countries of a similar climate, but separated by a wide sea or lofty mountains,
the families, genera and species of the [[[p. 186]]] one are often represented by closely
allied families, genera and species peculiar to the other.

**Geology.**

5. The distribution of the organic world in time is very similar to its present
distribution in space.

6. Most of the larger and some small groups extend through several geological
periods.

7. In each period, however, there are peculiar groups, found nowhere else, and
extending through one or several formations.

8. Species of one genus, or genera of one family occurring in the same geological
time are more closely allied than those separated in time.

9. As generally in geography no species or genus occurs in two very distant localities
without being also found in intermediate places, so in geology the life of a species or
genus has not been interrupted. In other words, no group or species has come into
existence twice.

10. The following law may be deduced from these facts:—*Every species has come
into existence coincident both in space and time with a pre-existing closely allied species.*

This law agrees with, explains and illustrates all the facts connected with the
following branches of the subject:—1st. The system of natural affinities. 2nd. The
distribution of animals and plants in space. 3rd. The same in time, including all the
phenomena of representative groups, and those which Professor Forbes supposed to
manifest polarity. 4th. The phenomena of rudimentary organs. We will briefly
endeavour to show its bearing upon each of these.
If the law above enunciated be true, it follows that the natural series of affinities will also represent the order in which the several species came into existence, each one having had for its immediate antitype a closely allied species existing at the time of its origin. It is evidently possible that two or three distinct species may have had a common antitype, and that each of these may again have become the antitypes from which other closely allied species were created. The effect of this would be, that so long as each species has had but one new species formed on its model, the line of affinities will be simple, and may be represented by placing the several species in direct succession in a straight line. But if two or more species have been independently formed on the plan of a common antitype, then the series of affinities will be compound, and can only be represented by a forked or many-branched line. Now, all attempts at a Natural classification and arrangement of organic beings show, that both these plans have obtained in creation. Sometimes the series of affinities can be well represented for a space by a direct progression from species to species or from group to group, but it is generally found impossible so to continue. There constantly occur two or more modifications of an organ or modifications of two distinct organs, leading us on to two distinct series of species, which at length differ so much from each other as to form distinct genera or families. These are the parallel series or representative groups of naturalists, and they often occur in different countries, or are found fossil in different formations. They are said to have an analogy to each other when they are so far removed from their common antitype as to differ in many important points of structure, while they still preserve a family resemblance. We thus see how difficult it is to determine in every case whether a given relation is an analogy or an affinity, for it is evident that as we go back along the parallel or divergent series, towards the common antitype, the analogy which existed between the two groups becomes an affinity. We are also made aware of the difficulty of arriving at a true classification, even in a small and perfect group;—in the actual state of nature it is almost impossible, the species being so numerous and the modifications of form and structure so varied, arising probably from the immense number of species which have served as antitypes for the existing species, and thus produced a complicated branching of the lines of affinity, as intricate as the twigs of a gnarled oak or the vascular system of the human body. Again, if we consider that we have only fragments of this vast system, the stem and main branches being represented by extinct species of which we have no knowledge, while a vast mass of limbs and boughs and minute twigs and scattered leaves is what we have to place in order, and determine the true position each originally occupied with regard to the others, the whole difficulty of the true Natural System of classification becomes apparent to us.

We shall thus find ourselves obliged to reject all those systems of classification which arrange species or groups in circles, as well as those which fix a definite number for the divisions of each group. The latter class have been very generally rejected by naturalists, as contrary to nature, notwithstanding the ability with which they have been advocated; but the circular system of affinities seems to have obtained a deeper hold, many eminent naturalists having to some extent adopted it. We have, however, never been able to find a
case in which the circle has been closed by a direct and close affinity. In most cases a palpable analogy has been substituted, in others the affinity is very obscure or altogether doubtful. The complicated branching of the lines of affinities in extensive groups must also afford great [p. 188] facilities for giving a show of probability to any such purely artificial arrangements. Their death-blow was given by the admirable paper of the lamented Mr. Strickland, published in the ‘Annals of Natural History,’ in which he so clearly showed the true synthetical method of discovering the Natural System.

If we now consider the geographical distribution of animals and plants upon the earth, we shall find all the facts beautifully in accordance with, and readily explained by, the present hypothesis. A country having species, genera, and whole families peculiar to it, will be the necessary result of its having been isolated for a long period, sufficient for many series of species to have been created on the type of pre-existing ones, which, as well as many of the earlier-formed species, have become extinct, and thus made the groups appear isolated. If in any case the antitype had an extensive range, two or more groups of species might have been formed, each varying from it in a different manner, and thus producing several representative or analogous groups. The Sylviadæ of Europe and the Sylvicolidæ of North America, the Heliconidæ of South America and the Euplaeas of the East, the group of Trogons inhabiting Asia, and that peculiar to South America, are examples that may be accounted for in this manner.

Such phænomena as are exhibited by the Galapagos Islands, which contain little groups of plants and animals peculiar to themselves, but most nearly allied to those of South America, have not hitherto received any, even a conjectural explanation. The Galapagos are a volcanic group of high antiquity, and have probably never been more closely connected with the continent than they are at present. They must have been first peopled, like other newly-formed islands, by the action of winds and currents, and at a period sufficiently remote to have had the original species die out, and the modified prototypes only remain. In the same way we can account for the separate islands having each their peculiar species, either on the supposition that the same original emigration peopled the whole of the islands with the same species from which differently modified prototypes were created, or that the islands were successively peopled from each other, but that new species have been created in each on the plan of the pre-existing ones. St. Helena is a similar case of a very ancient island having obtained an entirely peculiar, though limited, flora. On the other hand, no example is known of an island which can be proved geologically to be of very recent origin (late in the Tertiary, for instance), and yet possesses generic or family groups, or even many species peculiar to itself.

When a range of mountains has attained a great elevation, and has so remained during a long geological period, the species [p. 189] of the two sides at and near their bases will be often very different, representative species of some genera occurring, and even whole genera being peculiar to one side only, as is remarkably seen in the case of the Andes and Rocky Mountains. A similar phænomenon occurs when an island has been
separated from a continent at a very early period. The shallow sea between the Peninsula of Malacca, Java, Sumatra and Borneo was probably a continent or large island at an early epoch, and may have become submerged as the volcanic ranges of Java and Sumatra were elevated. The organic results we see in the very considerable number of species of animals common to some or all of these countries, while at the same time a number of closely allied representative species exist peculiar to each, showing that a considerable period has elapsed since their separation. The facts of geographical distribution and of geology may thus mutually explain each other in doubtful cases, should the principles here advocated be clearly established.

In all those cases in which an island has been separated from a continent, or raised by volcanic or coralline action from the sea, or in which a mountain-chain has been elevated, in a recent geological epoch, the phenomena of peculiar groups or even of single representative species will not exist. Our own island is an example of this, its separation from the continent being geologically very recent, and we have consequently scarcely a species which is peculiar to it; while the Alpine range, one of the most recent mountain elevations, separates faunas and floras which scarcely differ more than may be due to climate and latitude alone.

The series of facts alluded to in Proposition 3, of closely allied species in rich groups being found geographically near each other, is most striking and important. Mr. Lovell Reeve has well exemplified it in his able and interesting paper on the Distribution of the *Bulimi*. It is also seen in the Humming-birds and Toucans, little groups of two or three closely allied species being often found in the same or closely adjoining districts, as we have had the good fortune of personally verifying. Fishes give evidence of a similar kind: each great river has its peculiar genera, and in more extensive genera its groups of closely allied species. But it is the same throughout Nature; every class and order of animals will contribute similar facts. Hitherto no attempt has been made to explain these singular phenomena, or to show how they have arisen. Why are the genera of Palms and of Orchids in almost every case confined to one hemisphere? Why are the closely allied species of brown-backed Trogons all found in the East, and the green-backed in the West? Why are the Macaws and the Cockatoos similarly restricted? Insects furnish a countless number of analogous examples;—the *Goliath* of Africa, the *Ornithoptera* of the Indian islands, the *Heliconiidae* of South America, the *Danaidae* of the East, and in all, the most closely allied species found in geographical proximity. The question forces itself upon every thinking mind,—why are these things so? They could not be as they are, had no law regulated their creation and dispersion. The law here enunciated not merely explains, but necessitates the facts we see to exist, while the vast and long-continued geological changes of the earth readily account for the exceptions and apparent discrepancies that here and there occur. The writer’s object in putting forward his views in the present imperfect manner is to submit them to the test of other minds, and to be made aware of all the facts supposed to be inconsistent with them. As his hypothesis is one which claims acceptance solely as explaining and connecting facts
which exist in nature, he expects facts alone to be brought to disprove it; not *à-priori* arguments against its probability.

The phænomena of geological distribution are exactly analogous to those of geography. Closely allied species are found associated in the same beds, and the change from species to species appears to have been as gradual in time as in space. Geology, however, furnishes us with positive proof of the extinction and production of species, though it does not inform us how either has taken place. The extinction of species, however, offers but little difficulty, and the *modus operandi* has been well illustrated by Sir C. Lyell in his admirable ‘Principles.’ Geological changes, however gradual, must occasionally have modified external conditions to such an extent as to have rendered the existence of certain species impossible. The extinction would in most cases be effected by a gradual dying-out, but in some instances there might have been a sudden destruction of a species of limited range. To discover how the extinct species have from time to time been replaced by new ones down to the very latest geological period, is the most difficult, and at the same time the most interesting problem in the natural history of the earth. The present inquiry, which seeks to eliminate from known facts a law which has determined, to a certain degree, what species could and did appear at a given epoch, may, it is hoped, be considered as one step in the right direction towards a complete solution of it.

Much discussion has of late years taken place on the question, whether the succession of life upon the globe has been from a lower to a higher degree of organization? The admitted facts seem to show that there has been a general, but not a detailed progression. Mollusca and Radiata existed before Vertebrata, [[p. 191]] and the progression from Fishes to Reptiles and Mammalia, and also from the lower mammals to the higher, is indisputable. On the other hand, it is said that the Mollusca and Radiata of the very earliest periods were more highly organized than the great mass of those now existing, and that the very first fishes that have been discovered are by no means the lowest organized of the class. Now it is believed the present hypothesis will harmonize with all these facts, and in a great measure serve to explain them; for though it may appear to some readers essentially a theory of progression, it is in reality only one of gradual change. It is, however, by no means difficult to show that a real progression in the scale of organization is perfectly consistent with all the appearances, and even with apparent retrogression, should such occur.

Returning to the analogy of a branching tree, as the best mode of representing the natural arrangement of species and their successive creation, let us suppose that at an early geological epoch any group (say a class of the Mollusca) has attained to a great richness of species and a high organization. Now let this great branch of allied species, by geological mutations, be completely or partially destroyed. Subsequently a new branch springs from the same trunk, that is to say, new species are successively created, having for their antitypes the same lower organized species which had served as the antitypes for the former group, but which have survived the modified conditions which...
destroyed it. This new group being subject to these altered conditions, has modifications of structure and organization given to it, and becomes the representative group of the former one in another geological formation. It may, however, happen, that though later in time, the new series of species may never attain to so high a degree of organization as those preceding it, but in its turn become extinct, and give place to yet another modification from the same root, which may be of higher or lower organization, more or less numerous in species, and more or less varied in form and structure than either of those which preceded it. Again, each of these groups may not have become totally extinct, but may have left a few species, the modified prototypes of which have existed in each succeeding period, a faint memorial of their former grandeur and luxuriance. Thus every case of apparent retrogression may be in reality a progress, though an interrupted one: when some monarch of the forest loses a limb, it may be replaced by a feeble and sickly substitute. The foregoing remarks appear to apply to the case of the Mollusca, which, at a very early period, had reached a high organization and a great development of forms and species in the Testaceous Cephalopoda. In each succeeding age modified species and genera replaced the former ones which had become extinct, and as we approach the present æra but few and small representatives of the group remain, while the Gasteropods and Bivalves have acquired an immense preponderance. In the long series of changes the earth has undergone, the process of peopling it with organic beings has been continually going on, and whenever any of the higher groups have become nearly or quite extinct, the lower forms which have better resisted the modified physical conditions have served as the antitypes on which to found the new races. In this manner alone, it is believed, can the representative groups at successive periods, and the risings and fallings in the scale of organization, be in every case explained.

The hypothesis of polarity, recently put forward by Professor Edward Forbes to account for the abundance of generic forms at a very early period and at present, while in the intermediate epochs there is a gradual diminution and impoverishment, till the minimum occurred at the confines of the Palæozoic and Secondary epochs, appears to us quite unnecessary, as the facts may be readily accounted for on the principles already laid down. Between the Palæozoic and Neozoic periods of Professor Forbes, there is scarcely a species in common, and the greater part of the genera and families also disappear to be replaced by new ones. It is almost universally admitted that such a change in the organic world must have occupied a vast period of time. Of this interval we have no record; probably because the whole area of the early formations now exposed to our researches was elevated at the end of the Palæozoic period, and remained so through the interval required for the organic changes which resulted in the fauna and flora of the Secondary period. The records of this interval are buried beneath the ocean which covers three-fourths of the globe. Now it appears highly probable that a long period of quiescence or stability in the physical conditions of a district would be most favourable to the existence of organic life in the greatest abundance, both as regards individuals and also as to variety of species and generic groups, just as we now find that the places best adapted to the rapid growth and increase of individuals also contain the greatest profusion
of species and the greatest variety of forms,—the tropics in comparison with the
temperate and arctic regions. On the other hand, it seems no less probable that a change
in the physical conditions of a district, even small in amount if rapid, or even gradual if to a great amount, would be highly unfavourable to the existence of
individuals, might cause the extinction of many species, and would probably be equally
unfavourable to the creation of new ones. In this too we may find an analogy with the
present state of our earth, for it has been shown to be the violent extremes and rapid
changes of physical conditions, rather than the actual mean state in the temperate and
frigid zones, which renders them less prolific than the tropical regions, as exemplified by
the great distance beyond the tropics to which tropical forms penetrate when the climate
is equable, and also by the richness in species and forms of tropical mountain regions
which principally differ from the temperate zone in the uniformity of their climate.
However this may be, it seems a fair assumption that during a period of geological repose
the new species which we know to have been created would have appeared, that the
creations would then exceed in number the extinctions, and therefore the number of
species would increase. In a period of geological activity, on the other hand, it seems
probable that the extinctions might exceed the creations, and the number of species
consequently diminish. That such effects did take place in connexion with the causes to
which we have imputed them, is shown in the case of the Coal formation, the faults and
contortions of which show a period of great activity and violent convulsions, and it is in
the formation immediately succeeding this that the poverty of forms of life is most
apparent. We have then only to suppose a long period of somewhat similar action during
the vast unknown interval at the termination of the Palæozoic period, and then a
decreasing violence or rapidity through the Secondary period, to allow for the gradual
repopulation of the earth with varied forms, and the whole of the facts are explained. We
thus have a clue to the increase of the forms of life during certain periods, and their
decrease during others, without recourse to any causes but those we know to have existed, and to effects fairly deducible from them. The precise manner in which the
geological changes of the early formations were effected is so extremely obscure, that
when we can explain important facts by a retardation at one time and an acceleration at
another of a process which we know from its nature and from observation to have been
unequal,—a cause so simple may surely be preferred to one so obscure and hypothetical
as polarity.

I would also venture to suggest some reasons against the very nature of the theory of
Professor Forbes. Our knowledge of the organic world during any geological epoch is
necessarily very imperfect. Looking at the vast numbers of species and groups that have
been discovered by geologists, this may be doubted; but we should compare
their numbers not merely with those that now exist upon the earth, but with a far larger
amount. We have no reason for believing that the number of species on the earth at any
former period was much less than at present; at all events the aquatic portion, with which
geologists have most acquaintance, was probably often as great or greater. Now we
know that there have been many complete changes of species; new sets of organisms
have many times been introduced in place of old ones which have become extinct, so that 
the total amount which have existed on the earth from the earliest geological period must 
have borne about the same proportion to those now living, as the whole human race who 
have lived and died upon the earth, to the population at the present time. Again, at each 
epoch, the whole earth was no doubt, as now, more or less the theatre of life, and as the 
successive generations of each species died, their exuviae and preservable parts would be 
deposited over every portion of the then existing seas and oceans, which we have reason 
for supposing to have been more, rather than less, extensive than at present. In order then 
to understand our possible knowledge of the early world and its inhabitants, we must 
compare, not the area of the whole field of our geological researches with the earth’s 
surface, but the area of the examined portion of each formation separately with the whole 
earth. For example, during the Silurian period all the earth was Silurian, and animals 
were living and dying, and depositing their remains more or less over the whole area of 
the globe, and they were probably (the species at least) nearly as varied in different 
latitudes and longitudes as at present. What proportion do the Silurian districts bear to 
the whole surface of the globe, land and sea (for far more extensive Silurian districts 
probably exist beneath the ocean than above it), and what portion of the known Silurian 
districts has been actually examined for fossils? Would the area of rock actually laid 
open to the eye be the thousandth or the ten-thousandth part of the earth’s surface? Ask 
the same question with regard to the Oolite or the Chalk, or even to particular beds of 
these when they differ considerably in their fossils, and you may then get some notion of 
how small a portion of the whole we know.

But yet more important is the probability, nay, almost the certainty, that whole 
formations containing the records of vast geological periods are entirely buried beneath 
the ocean, and for ever beyond our reach. Most of the gaps in the geological series may 
thus be filled up, and vast numbers of unknown and unimaginable animals, which might 
help to elucidate the affinities of the numerous isolated groups which are a perpetual 
puzzle to [[p. 195]] the zoologist, may there be buried, till future revolutions may raise 
them in their turn above the waters, to afford materials for the study of whatever race of 
intelligent beings may then have succeeded us. These considerations must lead us to the 
conclusion, that our knowledge of the whole series of the former inhabitants of the earth 
is necessarily most imperfect and fragmentary,—as much so as our knowledge of the 
present organic world would be, were we forced to make our collections and observations 
only in spots equally limited in area and in number with those actually laid open for the 
collection of fossils. Now, the hypothesis of Professor Forbes is essentially one that 
assumes to a great extent the completeness of our knowledge of the whole series of 
organic beings which have existed on the earth. This appears to be a fatal objection to it, 
independently of all other considerations. It may be said that the same objections exist 
against every theory on such a subject, but this is not necessarily the case. The 
hypothesis put forward in this paper depends in no degree upon the completeness of our 
knowledge of the former condition of the organic world, but takes what facts we have as 
fragments of a vast whole, and deduces from them something of the nature and
proportions of that whole which we can never know in detail. It is founded upon isolated
groups of facts, recognizes their isolation, and endeavours to deduce from them the
nature of the intervening portions.

Another important series of facts, quite in accordance with, and even necessary
deductions from, the law now developed, are those of rudimentary organs. That these
really do exist, and in most cases have no special function in the animal economy, is
admitted by the first authorities in comparative anatomy. The minute limbs hidden
beneath the skin in many of the snake-like lizards, the anal hooks of the boa constrictor,
the complete series of jointed finger-bones in the paddle of the Manatus and whale, are a
few of the most familiar instances. In botany a similar class of facts has been long
recognized. Abortive stamens, rudimentary floral envelopes and undeveloped carpels,
are of the most frequent occurrence. To every thoughtful naturalist the question must
arise, What are these for? What have they to do with the great laws of creation? Do they
not teach us something of the system of Nature? If each species has been created
independently, and without any necessary relations with pre-existing species, what do
these rudiments, these apparent imperfections mean? There must be a cause for them;
ythey must be the necessary results of some great natural law. Now, if, as it has been
endeavoured to be shown, the great law which has regulated the peopling of the earth
with animal and vegetable life is, that every change shall be gradual; that no new
[[p. 196]] creature shall be formed widely differing from anything before existing; that in this,
as in everything else in Nature, there shall be gradation and harmony,—then these
rudimentary organs are necessary, and are an essential part of the system of Nature. Ere
the higher Vertebrata were formed, for instance, many steps were required, and many
organs had to undergo modifications from the rudimental condition in which only they
had as yet existed. We still see remaining an antitypal sketch of a wing adapted for flight
in the scaly flapper of the penguin, and limbs first concealed beneath the skin, and then
weakly protruding from it, were the necessary gradations before others should be formed
fully adapted for locomotion. Many more of these modifications should we behold, and
more complete series of them, had we a view of all the forms which have ceased to live.
The great gaps that exist between fishes, reptiles, birds and mammals would then, no
doubt, be softened down by intermediate groups, and the whole organic world would be
seen to be an unbroken and harmonious system.

It has now been shown, though most briefly and imperfectly, how the law that “Every
species has come into existence coincident both in time and space with a pre-existing
closely allied species,” connects together and renders intelligible a vast number of
independent and hitherto unexplained facts. The natural system of arrangement of
organic beings, their geographical distribution, their geological sequence, the phænomena
of representative and substituted groups in all their modifications, and the most singular
peculiarities of anatomical structure, are all explained and illustrated by it, in perfect
accordance with the vast mass of facts which the researches of modern naturalists have
brought together, and, it is believed, not materially opposed to any of them. It also claims
a superiority over previous hypotheses, on the ground that it not merely explains, but necessitates what exists. Granted the law, and many of the most important facts in Nature could not have been otherwise, but are almost as necessary deductions from it, as are the elliptic orbits of the planets from the law of gravitation.

Sarawak, Borneo, Feb. 1855.

Notes Appearing in the Original Work

1. Since the above was written, the author has heard with sincere regret of the death of this eminent naturalist, from whom so much important work was expected. His remarks on the present paper,—a subject on which no man was more competent to decide,—were looked for with the greatest interest. Who shall supply his place? [[originally placed at the bottom of page 192]]

2. See on this subject a paper by Professor Agassiz in the ‘Annals’ for November 1854.—Ed. [[originally placed at the bottom of page 194]]