

**Chronic Changes in Serum IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  Following 12 Weeks of Concurrent Resistance and Aerobic Exercise are Dependent on Exercise Mode and May Affect Adaptation**

BRAD S. LAMBERT, JUSTIN P. DOBSON, and STEPHEN F. CROUSE (FACSM)

Applied Exercise Laboratory; Texas A&M University; College Station, TX

Category: Doctoral

**ABSTRACT**

**PURPOSE:** To examine physiological responses to concurrent resistance and land treadmill training (RT-LTM) compared to concurrent resistance and aquatic treadmill training (RT-ATM) and the chronic effect of each on serum TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 (cytokines associated with chronic inflammation, CVD, and skeletal muscle metabolism). **METHODS:** Twenty-six untrained subjects (M: n=13, 98.6 $\pm$ 17.1kg, 182.2 $\pm$ 6.2cm, 34 $\pm$ 11yrs, F: n=13, 78.9 $\pm$ 14.0kg, 165.1 $\pm$ 5.1cm, 38 $\pm$ 11yrs) were screened to assess VO<sub>2max</sub>, body composition (DEXA), and strength (Lifts: leg press, chest press, leg curl, lat pull, leg ext, triceps push-down, biceps curl). Subjects were then randomized into 2 groups: RT-LTM (M=6, F=7), RT-ATM (M=7, F=6). Each performed progressive RT (2/wk, 3 x 8-12 @ 60% $\rightarrow$ ~80% 1RM) for 12 wks. Both groups also performed 12 wks of aerobic LTM or ATM (60 $\rightarrow$ 85%VO<sub>2max</sub>) respectively. ATM or LTM occurred immediately following RT sessions and in isolation on a 3<sup>rd</sup> day during the wk. Kcal/session: Wk 1-6 = 250 $\rightarrow$ 500 kcal/session, Wk 6-12 = 500 kcal/session. Blood samples were obtained in the rested state (>72h after last exercise bout) before and after training. Serum TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-6 was analyzed using a multiplex assay kit (Luminex®, Millipore®). A 2x2 Mixed Model ANOVA w/ repeated measures was used to examine absolute and relative changes in the independent variables listed in the table. **RESULTS:**

INDEP. VAR.	Lean Mass (kg)	Fat Mass (kg)	%Body Fat (%)	VO <sub>2max</sub> (ml/kg/min)	Total Strength (lbs)	IL-6 (pg/dl)	TNF- $\alpha$ (pg/dl)
<b>BASELINE MEASUREMENTS</b>							
RT-LTM	49.9 $\pm$ 3.7	35.9 $\pm$ 3.1	42.1 $\pm$ 2.5	29.9 $\pm$ 2.0	1457.4 $\pm$ 135.1	4.6 $\pm$ 1.6	7.1 $\pm$ 1.9
RT-ATM	53.1 $\pm$ 4.0	31.1 $\pm$ 2.8	37.0 $\pm$ 2.3	32.1 $\pm$ 1.6	1552.8 $\pm$ 145.9	4.6 $\pm$ 1.5	7.9 $\pm$ 1.5
<b>POST TRAINING MEASUREMENTS</b>							
RT-LTM	51.0 $\pm$ 4.7 <sup>†</sup>	34.1 $\pm$ 2.7 <sup>†</sup>	40.1 $\pm$ 3.6 <sup>†</sup>	35.8 $\pm$ 2.9 <sup>†</sup>	1843.4 $\pm$ 201.1 <sup>†</sup>	7.1 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>†</sup>	6.8 $\pm$ 0.5
RT-ATM	55.8 $\pm$ 4.6 <sup>†</sup>	30.3 $\pm$ 3.1	35.6 $\pm$ 2.4 <sup>†</sup>	35.8 $\pm$ 2.3 <sup>†</sup>	2193.6 $\pm$ 251.3 <sup>†</sup>	5.1 $\pm$ 2.7	6.6 $\pm$ 1.0 <sup>†</sup>
<b>%<math>\Delta</math> = Calculated From Each Individual Subjects Change From Baseline</b>							

RT-LTM	2.6% ± 1.4 <sup>†,a</sup>	-6.5% ± 2.4 <sup>†,a</sup>	-5.8% ± 1.8 <sup>†,a</sup>	14.1% ± 2.3 <sup>†,a</sup>	21.3% ± 1.1 <sup>†,a</sup>	125.9% ± 36.6 <sup>†,a</sup>	-1.8% ± 6.0 <sup>a</sup>
RT-ATM	4.2% ± 0.9 <sup>†,b</sup>	-2.1% ± 1.6 <sup>b</sup>	-4.1% ± 1.5 <sup>†,a</sup>	4.5% ± 3.0 <sup>†,b</sup>	27.1% ± 1.7 <sup>†,b</sup>	28.1% ± 34.7 <sup>b</sup>	-13.0% ± 5.4 <sup>†,b</sup>

Values are means ± SE. %Δ = Individual change from baseline. Like letters = not significantly different between groups, †=Significant change from baseline within group ( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ).

**CONCLUSION:** Chronic RT-LTM and RT-ATM training elicit different effects on markers of chronic inflammation which may be related to differing health and fitness outcomes observed between our groups.

