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The Council of Academic Deans met in the Office of Academic Affairs on Friday, July 12, 1974, at 10:00 a.m. Present were Deans Cravens, Sandefur, Corts, Gray, Russell, Sutton, Martin, Wassom, and Davis. Dr. Joy Kirchner and Mr. John Oakes represented Deans Hourigan and Mounce, respectively.

The deans discussed at some length the faculty and staff members who had been recommended for consideration for the registrar's position. The group agreed that Dr. Cravens should inform President Downing of the results of the several secret rating ballots that the deans took during the meeting.

The deans approved unanimously a plan to handle the routing and approval of academic policy relative to the remedial education programs at Fort Campbell known as PREP through the Council of Academic Deans. The grading procedure to be used will be as follows:

- **S** - Satisfactory completion: May pursue post-secondary education.
- **IP** - In-progress: The student has made progress and should benefit by recycling.
- **US** - Unsatisfactory: Student should not recycle. Did not make progress and/or showed lack of interest.
- **W** - Withdrew: Student withdrew with insufficient data for evaluation.

The group asked Dr. Corts to inform Mr. Lazarus of the approval of the new grading system so that student grades could be posted.

Drs. Davis and Corts commented on suggested courses to be offered in the Faculty-Staff Educational Development Program for Fall, 1974. Following discussion, Dr. Cravens asked that prior to the next deans' meeting Drs. Gray, Sandefur and Davis review the proposed College Teaching course and Mr. Tomes, and Drs. Wassom, Sutton and Corts study the proposed course for secretaries.

The deans commented on the proposal for a reorganization of the university committee structure. After some discussion, Dr. Cravens stated that each dean would be sent a revised draft of the committee structure chart for discussion with his department heads prior to the next deans' meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 11:40 a.m.
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