

9-21-1976

Meeting Minutes

WKU Council of Academic Deans

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wku.edu/coun_acad_dean



Part of the [Higher Education Administration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

WKU Council of Academic Deans, "Meeting Minutes" (1976). *Council of Academic Deans*. Paper 268.
http://digitalcommons.wku.edu/coun_acad_dean/268

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TopSCHOLAR®. It has been accepted for inclusion in Council of Academic Deans by an authorized administrator of TopSCHOLAR®. For more information, please contact topscholar@wku.edu.

MINUTES

Council of Academic Deans

September 21, 1976

The Council of Academic Deans meeting was held in the Office of Academic Affairs on September 21, 1976 at 10:20 a.m. Members present were Deans Davis, Sandefur, Gray, Russell, Chelf, Oppitz, Cortis, Oakes, Hardin and Hourigan. Mr. Charles Eison was a guest at the meeting.

Before beginning discussion of the agenda items, Dr. Davis asked Mr. Eison to comment on opportunities for funding under Title VI. Mr. Eison distributed information and commented on this funding opportunity. Dr. Davis asked each dean to submit a written request to him by September 28 briefly outlining requests which would be appropriate for funding under Title VI. Dr. Davis indicated that the Council of Academic Deans would then serve as a committee of the whole to assess priority items. This would enable Grants and Contracts to prepare the final requests in sufficient time for the October 15 deadline.

Dr. Davis requested changes or comments concerning the minutes of the last meeting and there being none, the minutes were declared accepted as presented.

Dr. Davis opened discussion on Agenda Item II A and asked Dr. Chelf to present the subcommittee report. Dr. Chelf referred the deans to the printed material which had been distributed at the opening of the meeting and went over each of the five items on the report. After considerable discussion on each item, Dr. Chelf moved and Dr. Sandefur seconded the motion to approve the subcommittee report as distributed with one exception: Item III should read as follows: "The 8-week summer session be expanded to include extended campus courses in Jefferson County and the public libraries (undergraduate courses)." With this one change, the motion to approve the report carried.

In the next item of business, Dr. Davis asked Dr. Gray to report the recommendation of the ad hoc committee studying tuition scholarships for spouses. Dr. Gray referred the deans to the printed materials which had been distributed and went over each of these points for elaboration. After discussion, Dr. Hourigan moved and Dr. Gray seconded the motion to approve the subcommittee report in principle contingent upon a cost benefit-analysis which could accompany the recommended change. The motion carried.

In the next item of business, Dr. Davis asked Mr. Oppitz to comment on Dr. Jenkins' request for a definition of audit. After considerable discussion on this matter, Mr. Oppitz moved and Dr. Hourigan seconded a motion to refer this matter to the Scholastic Regulations Committee of the Academic Council. The motion carried.

In the next item of business, Dr. Davis asked Mr. Oppitz to comment on Dr. Jenkins' suggestion for a university-wide committee to nominate faculty for summer stipends of the National Endowment for the Humanities. After discussion on this matter, Dr. Davis asked Mr. Oakes to investigate the operation of the current nominating procedure to make certain that faculty from the College of Business and Public Affairs are informed about the procedure to be followed to be nominated for these stipends and that they are involved in the current selection process.

In the final item on the agenda, the deans discussed the vacation policy for 12-month administrators. Dr. Russell moved and Dr. Hourigan seconded the motion to table this issue. The motion carried.

Dr. Russell asked for the deans' opinion on whether it might be helpful to have a uniform guideline on selection procedures for new department heads. Inasmuch as several of the deans agreed that a university-wide established policy on this matter would be helpful, it was agreed that this matter would be placed on the agenda for the meeting two weeks hence.

The meeting adjourned at 11:56 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul R. Corts