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ABSTRACT

International Journal of Exercise Science 6(2) : 144-156, 2013. Previous meta-analyses examining the effects of exercise on stress reactivity have included methodologically weak studies; we therefore conducted a meta-analysis utilizing more stringent inclusion criteria. An analysis of 33 randomized controlled trials involving humans (N = 1,252) revealed a moderate effect (ES = -0.31; 95% CI = -0.43, -0.20) for exercise as a method to reduce stress reactivity. An additional analysis with 27 randomized controlled trials of physical activity in animals (N = 462) also revealed a moderate reduction (ES = -0.33; 95% CI = -0.15, -0.52) in stress reactivity. The combined results of these analyses indicate that exercise diminishes the negative effects of increased reactivity to stressors.
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INTRODUCTION

Most adults in the U.S. believe that they experience unhealthy amounts of stress (5), and stress is the second leading cause of workplace health problems in the EU (60). Some sources of stress are unavoidable; however, it is an individual’s reaction to stress (e.g., increases in blood pressure, heart rate, stroke volume; 14) that can lead to negative health outcomes. Human and animal studies indicate that chronic stress and elevated stress reactivity are related to negative health outcomes such as hypertension, increased left ventricular mass, artherosclerosis, suppressed immune function, and the risk of having a heart attack (29, 34, 48, 54, 57, 65, 74).

Decades of research have examined the use of exercise as a method to protect against elevated stress reactivity. The theory that the body’s response to exercise will lead to positive stress reactivity adaptations has been termed the cross-stressor adaptation hypothesis (78). The theory posits that exercise helps the body regulate the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and the sympathetic nervous system (SNS), in particular the locus ceruleus-sympathetic-adrenomedulary system (LCSA or LC-NE), all of which play significant roles in the physiological stress response (16, 47, 87).
Narrative reviews of the effects of exercise on stress reactivity have found conflicting results (21, 85). Several meta-analyses have also examined this relationship, and most have found significant improvements in stress reactivity after exercise (3, 19; ESs 0.37 and 0.48, respectively). A recent meta-regression (45), however, reported that increased cardiorespiratory fitness is associated with a small increase in stress reactivity ($ES = 0.08, p < .001$) and a quicker recovery ($ES = -0.27, p < .001$). These quantitative studies have been plagued by the inclusion of a large number of correlational studies. Furthermore, Jackson and Dishman (45) suggest the need for a greater number of randomized controlled trials examining exercise and stress reactivity, which can be accomplished by incorporating human and animal studies into one review.

The purpose of this study is to provide a comprehensive review of the effects of exercise on stress reactivity. We conducted two separate meta-analyses, using only randomized, controlled trials with human participants (Meta I) and animal subjects (Meta II). This study represents the first meta-analysis on the effects of stress reactivity in animals, which removes potential sources of bias in human research such as volunteerism and experimenter expectancy effects, and allows the examination of stress related hormones and neurochemicals after exercise.

Hypothesis 1: Human exercise treatment groups have significantly greater reductions in stress reactivity compared to no-treatment- or placebo-control groups.

Hypothesis 2: Animal exercise groups have significantly greater reductions in stress reactivity compared to no-treatment- or placebo-control groups.

METHODS

Meta-Analysis I: Human Literature

**Literature Search:** We conducted a literature search using the following electronic databases: PsycInfo, SPORTDiscus, PubMed, Medline, and Dissertations & Theses (formerly Dissertation Abstracts International) to find studies related to exercise and stress reactivity. We conducted searches using combinations of the following key words: randomized controlled trial, exercise, cardiovascular, training, physical activity, stress, recovery, reactivity, laboratory stress, and psychological stress. We then supplemented electronic searches by cross-referencing narrative reviews, meta-analyses, and included articles by hand. The search was not restricted by year of publication.

**Inclusion criteria:** The analysis was limited to English language studies that used a randomized, controlled design to assess the effects of either a chronic or acute exercise intervention on stress reactivity. Because of the aforementioned importance of cardiovascular and immune system responses to stressful stimuli, only studies using psychophysiological outcomes of reactivity (e.g., heart rate, blood pressure, cortisol) that used an acute psychological stressor (e.g., cognitive tasks, public speaking) were included. Studies involving the use of physical stressors or pharmacological challenges were not included because of the potential confounding effects of non-stress related changes on cardiovascular or immune system reactivity.
**Coding:** We coded studies that met the inclusion criteria for potential moderating variables a priori. Potential moderator variables were primarily derived from previous meta-analyses (19, 33, 39, 45), and included participant characteristics, intervention design characteristics, stressor characteristics, and dependent measures of stress reactivity.

**Participant characteristics:** Genetic and environmental differences between individuals are important when analyzing the stress response (56, 77). Therefore, we coded studies for: mean age; race (white, black, not reported); gender (male, female, mixed); health status (healthy, hypertensive, clinical condition); and fitness at baseline (fit, unfit, not reported).

**Design characteristics:** Characteristics related to the design of the intervention were coded to best inform recommendations for using exercise to reduce stress reactivity. Studies were coded based on whether they used a chronic exercise intervention or an acute bout of exercise. Other coded variables related to the intervention design were type of activity (aerobic, anaerobic), duration of activity, and intensity of activity. Intensity of activity for aerobic exercise was based on the percentage of maximum heart rate or percentage of VO2 peak and then categorized by American College of Sports Medicine guidelines ($\leq 39\% = $ low, $40-59\% = $ moderate, $60-95\% = $ high; 4). If intensity was published as absolute heart rate, we calculated average intensity based on the mean age of the subjects using a method to determine maximal heart rate in adults ($[208 - 0.7 \times \text{age}]; 83$). Guidelines from the National Strength and Conditioning Association were used to classify resistance exercises in a similar manner (7). For chronic interventions, additional variables relating to the length of participation (in weeks), percent improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness, and the method of fitness assessment (VO2 max, submax, other) were also coded.

**Stressor characteristics:** The type and duration of the stressor were coded to determine if variation in the applied stressor had an effect on the cardiovascular or immune system response. Type of stressor was divided into active tasks, multiple active tasks, passive tasks, and a combination of active and passive tasks. Active tasks included the Stroop color-word conflict task, mental arithmetic (continuous subtraction/addition), tracing tasks, reaction time tasks, and various puzzles and processing tasks. Speaking tasks are also considered active tasks, defined as tasks involving a prepared speech during which the participant was told that he or she would be graded based on various criteria. Studies were coded as multiple active tasks if they used any of the previously mentioned tasks in succession. Passive tasks included application of a cold pressor to the hand, foot, or forehead. While the cold pressor task has been classified as both a psychological and physical stressor in the literature, we include it here because of the inhibitory mental processes involved with coping with the pain induced during the task (89). Finally, many studies combined different types of stressors to either elicit the greatest stress response or assure that a combination of passive and active stressors were present. The duration of the stressor was coded as 0-3 min, 3-6 min, 6-9 min, 9-12 min, greater than 12 min, or not reported.
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Dependent measures: Dependent measures of stress reactivity included heart rate (HR), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), mean arterial pressure (MAP), rate pressure product, artery diameter, skin conductance, pulse transit time, rescaled pulse amplitude, rescaled R-wave amplitude, rescaled T-wave amplitude, respiration rate, respiration amplitude, norepinephrine (NE), epinephrine (E), cluster of differentiation-4 cells (Cd4), and cluster of differentiation-56 cells (Cd56).

Effect size calculation: Effect sizes were independently calculated for each study that met inclusion criteria. A single, average effect size was calculated for each study that used multiple dependent measures and/or multiple treatment groups to assure that each effect size remains independent and has equal weight in the analysis. Hedges’ g was used to calculate effect sizes in the current analysis, in which $g = \frac{M_E - M_C}{SD_{pooled}}$, where $ME$ = the mean of the experimental group, $Mc$ = the mean of the control, and $SD_{pooled} = \sqrt{\frac{(N_E - 1)SD_{pre}^2 + (N_C - 1)SD_{rc}^2}{N_E + N_C - 2}}$. Alternate equations were used to calculate effect sizes for studies that did not report means or standard deviations (55, 69). All effect sizes used post-test data. A correction factor was used to account for the more precise estimate of population parameters from studies with larger sample sizes: Corrected $ES = ES \left(1 - \frac{3}{4N-9}\right)$ (40). All effect sizes were then weighted by the inverse of the variance. Finally, for studies that reported pre and post-intervention data, gains effect sizes were calculated according to the formula: $g = \frac{M_{Post} - M_{Pre}}{SD_{pooled}}$, and

$SD_{pooled} = \sqrt{\frac{(N_{Pre} - 1)SD_{pre}^2 + (N_{Post} - 1)SD_{post}^2}{N_{Pre} + N_{Post} - 2}}$ (40).

Each effect size was coded so that a negative value is indicative of a reduction in stress reactivity. Effect sizes were then classified according to the following criteria: small (> 0.0, but ≤ 0.20), moderate (> 0.20, but ≤ 0.50), or large (> 0.50, but ≤ 0.80; 18).

An overall $Q$ value was calculated to test for homogeneity among effect sizes. The overall $Q$ statistic represents the total amount of variance among all effect sizes used in the analysis and is distributed as a $\chi^2$, in which $df = k - 1$, where $k$ = number of effect sizes. If the effect sizes included in the analysis produce a significant $Q$ value they are considered heterogeneous and a random effects model is applied (40, 44; 73). As suggested by Higgins, Thompson, Deeks, and Altman (41), $I^2$ was calculated to examine percent of variation across the included studies where $I^2 = \frac{Qb - df}{Qb}$. Many researchers use a significant $Q$ value as an indication of population differences and justification to examine moderator variables (40). However, Hall and Rosenthal (38) argue that a significant test of heterogeneity is not required to examine moderator variables. Rosenthal and DiMatteo (66) elaborate, explaining that an analysis of moderator variables can be fundamental to understanding the details of the applicable theories and a clearer picture of the relevant literature regardless of significant heterogeneity among effect sizes. Therefore, all moderator variables were examined by portioning the variance for each variable into $Qwithin$ and $Qbetween$. These values were tested for significance against a $\chi^2$ distribution, in which $df = k - 1$, where $k$ = number of categories of the moderator variable. A significant $Qbetween$ value indicates that the moderator variable it
describes is a significant contributor to the variance among the E5s. For each category, weighted E5s, standard errors, and 95% confidence intervals were then calculated. For all moderator variables with a significant Qbetween value, pairwise comparisons were conducted between all E5s to identify significant differences among levels of the moderator variable (40).

Meta-Analysis II: Animal Literature

Literature search: We conducted a second literature search to locate articles with animal subjects, using the same methods employed in the previous meta-analysis. Key words from Meta I were used, along with the following terms: animal, rat, mouse, wheel running, and activity. Over 100 potential articles were located and reference lists of the included studies were crosschecked by hand.

Inclusion Criteria: The inclusion criteria for Meta II mimic those of Meta I and include additional criteria based on training methodology. Only studies that employed voluntary or freewheel running or unforced treadmill training as the mode of exercise were included in the analysis because forced treadmill running in Sprague-Dawley rats has been shown to affect markers of chronic stress, such as decreased circulating corticosteroid binding globulin and decreased lymphocyte production (58), and increases in activated CRH neurons (92).

Coding: Studies that met inclusion criteria were coded for potential moderating variables a priori based on subject characteristics, intervention design characteristics, stressor characteristics, and dependent measures of stress reactivity.

Subject characteristics: As in the analysis of the human literature, it is important to understand the potential role of genetic influence on treatment effects. Studies were coded for animal type (C57BL/6N Mice, Sprague-Dawley rat, Fisher rat, Wistar rat) and gender.

Design characteristics: The included studies were coded based on the length of the intervention (1 to 3, 4 to 6, 7 to 10, and >10 weeks). Because studies involving animal subjects typically do not account for exercise intensity, only distance (<1 km/week, 1-3 km/week, 3.01-5 km/week, 5.01-7 km/week, 7+km/week) of freewheel running was coded. Change in aerobic fitness was coded as yes, no, or not reported because the majority of the included studies did not assess changes in fitness. Finally, time of measurement or time of dissection after stressor cessation were coded concurrent, immediate, 30 min, 90-120 min, or 24 hrs.

Stressor characteristics: The type and duration of the stressor were coded to determine if variation in the applied stressor had an effect on cardiovascular or immune system response. Type of stressor was divided into controllable footshock, uncontrollable footshock, restraint, novel environment, and mixed stressors. While these stressors include a physical component, we include them here because inducing psychological stress without physical manipulation is difficult, if not impossible, in animal studies (89).

Dependent measures: Dependent measures were coded to examine changes in cardiovascular and immune system response to stress. Cardiovascular reactivity
measures included HR, SBP, DBP, and MAP. Neuroendocrine and neurochemical reactivity measures included adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), NE, E, corticosterone, prolactin, brain-derived neurotrophic factor, serotonin (5-HT), 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA), dopamine (DA), 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC), and L-tryptophan. Additionally, measures of cellular changes such as the number of c-fos reactive cells and 5-HT positive cells were included.

RESULTS

Meta-Analyses I: Human Literature

Overall effect: A total of 150 studies were located for possible inclusion in the analysis, 47 of which met inclusion criteria. Of the 47 articles, 30 had sufficient information to calculate ESs. Three articles reported multiple studies involving different control groups, which led to 33 studies in the final analysis. The 33 studies had a combined total of 1,252 participants (average N = 39.13). The overall weighted ES was -0.31 (95% CI = -.43, -.20)\(^1\), which is significantly different from zero. This moderate effect indicates that individuals randomly assigned to an exercise program experienced a one third of a standard deviation greater reduction in reactivity to psychological stressors than individuals randomly assigned to a control group.

Gains: Of the 33 studies included in the analysis, 18 contained sufficient information for the calculation of gains ESs. The overall weighted gains ES for the exercise group was -0.42 (95% CI = -.26, -.58). The overall weighted gains ES for the control group was -0.07 (95% CI = 0.09, -.23).

Homogeneity: The standard test for homogeneity of variance was nonsignificant, \( Q = 34.76, p = .38 \). For the 33 included studies \( I^2 = .08 \), indicating a very small amount of variability across studies. Due to the observed homogeneity among ESs, a random effects model was not fit. As explained by Hall and Rosenthal (38), a set of homogenous ESs may have significant moderators that account for the small amount of variance in the overall effect and we therefore conducted analyses of potential moderating variables.

Moderator variables and dependent measures: Moderator variables (see Table 1) did not account for a significant amount of the overall variance as evidenced by non-significant \( Q_b \) values for all variables. The most common dependent measures (see Table 2) used to quantify reactivity to psychological stressors were SBP \((k = 46)\), DBP \((k = 45)\), HR \((k = 45)\), and MAP \((k = 21)\). Based on data from pre-intervention exposure to psychological stressors across control and experimental group participants, the moderate effect represents a reduction of 3.56 mmHg for SBP, 3.42 mmHg for DBP, 2.09 beats per min for HR, and 4.41 mmHg for MAP for individuals in exercise groups compared to control group participants.

File Drawer Test: The file drawer problem is a potential threat to the validity of a systematic review as there may be a lack of published studies with non-significant results (67). Using the methods outlined by Rosenberg (64), 189 additional RCTs with non-significant results would have to be included in the current analysis to create a
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non-significant overall effect. This is considered to be a robust number when Rosenthal’s criterion \((5n + 10, \text{ where } n \text{ is equal to the number of studies included in the analysis; 68})\) is applied.

**Meta-Analysis II: Animal Literature**

**Overall effect:** A total of 50 studies were located for possible inclusion in this analysis, 34 of which met inclusion criteria. Of the 34 articles, 19 had information sufficient to calculate ESs. The final analysis resulted in 27 studies as five studies reported data on multiple studies involving different control groups. The 27 studies had 462 subjects (average \(N = 17.11\)). The overall weighted ES was \(-0.33\) (95% CI = -0.15, -0.52; \(p < .05\)). This moderate effect indicates that animals randomly allowed to participate in chronic wheel running experienced one third of a standard deviation greater reduction in reactivity to psychological stress than animals randomly assigned to a sedentary control group.

**Homogeneity:** This weighted overall effect is a result of using a fixed effects model, which is appropriate when there is a lack of heterogeneity in the analysis. The test for homogeneity of variance was non-significant, \(Q = 33.70, p = 0.14\). For the 27 included studies there was a moderate amount of variability across studies \((I^2 = 0.22)\).

**Moderator variables and dependent measures:** As indicated by non-significant \(Qb\) statistics for each category, no moderator variable accounted for a significant amount of the overall variance (see Table 3). No pairwise comparisons of moderator variable categories were conducted. The most commonly assessed neuroendocrine markers of stress reactivity assessed in the included studies were ACTH, Corticosterone, NE, 5-HT, and c-Fos (see Table 4).

**File drawer test:** Using the methods outlined by Rosenberg (64), it was found that 50 additional studies with non-significant results would have to be included in the current analysis to create a non-significant effect. Although this does not meet the criterion for robustness (68), it does represent a large number of unpublished, non-significant, RCTs when compared to the amount of literature discovered in our search.

**DISCUSSION**

**Meta-Analysis I: Human Literature**

The ES of -.31 resulting from the analysis of randomized controlled trials with a large sample of participants \((N = 1,252)\) represents evidence for the use of exercise to reduce reactivity to psychological stressors. The overall ES is similar in magnitude to ESs in previous meta-analyses, but is more reliable due to the exclusive use of RCTs in this meta-analysis.

The reduction in blood pressure reactivity to psychological stressors closely mimics the findings of a previous meta-analysis on the effects of exercise on blood pressure, which found that aerobic exercise was associated with a significant decrease in both SBP and DBP (-3.84 and -2.58 mm Hg, respectively; 91). Although the reductions found in the current study may seem minimal (3.56 mm Hg for SBP and 3.42 mm Hg for DBP), one must consider the accumulation of stressful stimuli experienced over time. As evidenced by research linking increased cardiovascular reactivity to disease states ranging from
sub-clinical to life-threatening diseases states (46, 48), even small reductions in cardiovascular reactivity can be clinically beneficial over time. The homogeneity of the overall ES indicates that exercise-induced reductions in stress reactivity are applicable to the general population; however, one limitation of this meta-analysis is that participant and design characteristics were similar across included studies. Many studies examined reactivity to an active stressor in a population of healthy, mixed gender individuals who were engaged in a chronic aerobic exercise intervention. Future research should focus on addressing issues of variability in sample populations, including research to understand how exercise may affect those who are at greater risk from negative effects of stress reactivity.

Meta-Analysis II: Animal Literature
The overall weighted ES of = -0.33 indicates that animals allowed to engage in aerobic training had moderate reductions in stress reactivity. This finding has the dual purpose of offering an insight into the stress mechanisms that might be affected by chronic exercise, as well as providing support for the results found in Meta I. The main finding of this analysis indicates that participation in chronic activity has moderate positive effects on hormone and catecholamine reactivity to stressors. The animal studies in the current analysis are unique because the subjects were not placed in a training program per se, but allowed to exercise freely in regards to duration and intensity. Interestingly, two moderator variables that approached significance indicated a trend between longer running distance (> 5 km/week), and greater intervention length (> 10 weeks) and stronger effects (ESs = -0.46, p = .08 and -1.19, p = .06, respectively).

As in Meta I, many of the animal studies used similar design and subject characteristics, and future animal studies should address this lack of variability. While it would be preferable to use animal models to further examine differences in training intensities, training methods, and stress protocols, these variables prove to be problematic because changes in design characteristics such as animal handling time may mask the true effects of exercise on stress reactivity. Future studies should employ voluntary physical activities that are likely to induce changes in cardiovascular fitness (e.g., gradual addition of resistance to wheel running equipment). Additionally, the inclusion of stressors that mimic those experienced by humans or the introduction of highly populated, novel environments may be enlightening.

The results of the current analyses indicate that exercise is associated with moderate reductions in stress reactivity. Over time, such reductions have the potential to reduce the burden of serious medical conditions associated with stress, such as CVD and immune system dysfunction. The consistency of the findings provides strong support for the effects of exercise on stress reactivity, and the use of only RCTs limits the potential bias and error inflation that results from quantitative reviews of less rigorously designed studies. Based on the homogeneity of study characteristics (healthy individuals and chronic, moderate-intensity exercise), future research should examine the effects of exercise on stress reactivity in clinical populations, such as people with diagnosed stress disorders or...
elevated cardiac risk factors. Finally, the analysis of animal research confirms results from research with humans, and shows that exercise is associated with beneficial stress reactivity adaptations at the hormonal and neurochemical levels.
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