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I. Tenure -
   1. Proposed Committee on Continuance and Tenure
   2. Tenure Eligibility of Newly Promoted Assistant Professors
   3. General Discussion of Promotion in Rank and Tenure
   4. Discussion of Leaves of Absence Relative to Faculty Status - i.e. Tenure and Sabbatical Leave Eligibility

II. Discussion of Term of Membership on Sabbatical Advisory Committee

III. Saturday Class Schedules for Second Semester and for Fall, 1970

IV. Discussion of attendance by Assistant Deans at Council of Academic Deans' Meetings.

V. Other Business
The Council of Academic Deans met in Room 104, Academic-Athletic Building, #1, at 1:40 p.m., Tuesday, January 28, 1970. All deans were present except Dr. Jenkins and Dr. Page who were represented by Mr. James Oppitz and Dr. Kenneth Brenner respectively. Also present was Dr. William Bivin, University attorney.

I. **Continuance and tenure.** Dr. Bivin commented on tenure policy and the need or absence of need for a committee on continuance and tenure. Dr. Cravens indicated that department heads should be made fully aware of the approaches which should be used in these matters. He said that he and Dr. Bivin would meet with department heads in the various colleges if they so desired. Dr. John Minton moved that the proposal for a committee on continuance and tenure be tabled. Dr. Hatcher seconded and the motion was approved.

II. **Tenure for those newly promoted to assistant professor.** Dr. Bivin commented on interpretation of the policy stated in the Faculty Handbook. Dr. Cravens said he would seek a clarifying interpretation of existing policy.

Dr. Brenner wanted to know if there was a limit or guideline on frequency of promotion. There is no policy in this regard it was noted.

Dr. Russell said we might want to include on the faculty evaluation forms a place to estimate a faculty member's potential for eventual promotion to a higher rank.

The meeting was adjourned with items remaining on the agenda to be held over until the next meeting.