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ABSTRACT

This study sought to compare aerobic energy expenditure, recovery VO$_2$, peak heart rate, and peak VO$_2$ achieved across 45 min of exercise and 15 min of recovery performing both traditional and CrossFit®-based exercise. Thirty healthy, physically active participants of both genders (15 men, 15 women) performed a workout following the guidelines of the American College of Sports Medicine (traditional) and a workout following the CrossFit® method. Each workout consisted of a 5 min warm-up (light aerobic exercise and stretching), resistance exercise (both focused on leg exercises), cardiorespiratory exercise (a treadmill run for the traditional exercise and circuit training for the CrossFit®-based exercise) and 5 min cool-down (walking). The cool-down was followed by 10 min of sitting to record recovery values. During each workout the participants wore a K4b2 Cosmed unit to measure energy expenditure and VO$_2$, and a Polar heart rate monitor to measure heart rate. Each measure was compared using a Dependent t-Test. Energy expenditure (468 ± 116 vs. 431 ± 96 kcal, p<0.001), peak heart rate (189 ± 8 vs. 172 ± 8 bpm, p<0.001), peak VO$_2$ (3.22 ± 0.73 vs. 2.81 ± 0.63 L/min, p<0.001) and average 15 min recovery VO$_2$ (0.89 ± 0.24 vs. 0.78 ± 0.18 L/min, p<0.001) were significantly greater in the CrossFit®-based workout. The present study suggests that CrossFit®-based exercise may result in greater aerobic energy expenditure than traditional exercise.