Effect of Exercise in Sync with Circadian Preference on Classification of Response and Non-response in Migraineurs

JAMES W. NAVALTA, FACSM, GRAHAM R. MCGINNIS, & ELIAS M. MALEK

Exercise Physiology Laboratory; Department of Kinesiology and Nutrition Sciences; University of Nevada, Las Vegas; Las Vegas, NV

Category: Professional

ABSTRACT

It is estimated that 36 million individuals in the U.S. suffer from migraines. While pharmacological treatments are most often prescribed, adverse side effects cause nearly 70% to delay or avoid taking medication. Treatments such as exercise, that have fewer side effects than medications, are needed. While exercise is effective, not all migraineurs benefit (40% were classified as non-responders in one study), indicating certain aspects should be evaluated. The **PURPOSE** was to determine if exercise prescribed at a time-of-day in synchrony versus out of synchrony with circadian preference (chronotype) affected responder versus nonresponder status when considering monthly migraine load. METHODS: Participants were 7 sedentary individuals who experienced migraines 8+ times per month (age = 34 ± 11 yrs, 167 ± 8 cm, 99 ± 26 kg). The Morningness/Eveningness Questionnaire was completed to determine chronotype. Participants completed one month of exercise (3 x week, 30-min, 60-70% estimated HRmax) in the morning (before 9:00am) or evening (after 7:00pm) in a randomized counterbalanced order, with a two-week washout period. 'In Sync' exercise was considered when a morning type exercised in the morning, and an evening type exercised in the evening, 'Out of Sync' exercise was considered when a morning type exercised in the evening, and an evening type exercised in the morning, migraine load was determined using the Headache Impact Test (HIT-6) and Migraine Disability Assessment (MIDAS) at the beginning and end of each month. Responders were considered as any individual with 10% or greater improvement in scores. Chi squared (χ^2) analysis was performed and significance accepted at p<0.05. RESULTS: On the HIT-6 evaluation, 43% of participants were considered 'responders' after completing in sync versus out of sync exercise (14% 'responders') (γ^2 = 1.4, p = 0.24). Similarly, on the MIDAS evaluation, 57% of participants were considered 'responders' after completing in sync exercise, while only 29% of participants completing out of sync exercise were classified as 'responders' ($\chi^2 = 1.16$, p = 0.28). **CONCLUSION**: While no statistical differences were observed, exercise prescriptions that incorporate a participant's circadian rhythm may be a promising option toward helping chronic migraine sufferers reduce the monthly migraine load.