Body Fluid Estimation Via Segmental Multi-Frequency Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis Following Acute Resistance Exercise

CHRISTINE M. FLOREZ¹, GRANT TINSLEY², JESSICA PRATHER¹, JAVIER ZARAGOZA³, CODY TEAGUE³, KATE GLENN⁴, JUSTIS KELLY⁴, MEAGAN BOURQUE⁴, MICHELLE HOCKETT⁴, ALEX GUTIERREZ³, MATTIAS TINNIN¹, KINDYLE BRENNAN⁴, & LEM TAYLOR¹

Human Performance Lab; School of Exercise and Sport Science; University of Mary Hardin-Baylor; Belton, TX¹
Department of Kinesiology & Sport Management, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX²
Tactical Fitness and Nutrition Lab; Oklahoma State University; Stillwater, OK³
Doctor of Physical Therapy Program; Mayborn College of Health Sciences; University of Mary Hardin-Baylor; Belton, TX⁴

Category: Masters
Advisor / Mentor: Taylor, Lem (ltaylor@umhb.edu)

ABSTRACT

Segmental multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis (S-MFBA) estimates body composition and fluids by passing electrical currents through the body and can separate the body into distinct segments. The minimum required abstention from exercise before S-MFBA is unclear. PURPOSE: The purpose of this study was to monitor changes in total body water (TBW), intracellular water (ICW), and extracellular water (ECW) estimated via S-MFBA following acute, localized bouts of resistance exercise (RE).

METHODS: Thirty-two female (n = 18; age: 22.7 ± 1.4 y; height: 167.5 ± 7.5 cm; body mass: 66.6 ± 14.5 kg; body fat: 30.3 ± 6.2%) and male (n= 14; age: 24.2 ± 2.9; height: 178.7 ± 5.3; body mass: 85.7 ± 7.8 kg; body fat: 19.6 ± 6.9%) resistance-trained volunteers completed three randomly assigned conditions in a crossover design. Each RE protocol (RE_UPPER or RE_LOWER) consisted of three exercises and began with two warm-up sets of 12-15 repetitions per exercise. This was followed by a RE circuit of 5 sets of 10 repetitions per exercise with a one-minute rest interval between circuits. In the resting (REST) condition, participants did not complete any physical activity. S-MFBA was performed at five timepoints: pre-exercise, immediate post-exercise, 15-, 30-, and 60-minutes post-exercise. Data were analyzed using linear mixed-effects models with a random intercept for participant. In all models, REST was the reference condition, and pre-exercise was the reference time point. RESULTS: Although body mass did not differ between conditions, condition by time interactions were observed for TBW, ICW, and ECW (p<0.001 each), with the higher values observed at post-exercise time points in RE_UPPER as compared to the REST condition. Mean differences between RE_UPPER and REST for TBW, ICW, and ECW ranged from 0.6-1.0 kg, 0.4-0.6 kg, and 0.2-0.4 kg, respectively. Conversely, RE_LOWER did not alter fluid estimates. CONCLUSION: An acute increase in TBW, ICW, and ECW is detected by S-MFBA after a single bout of upper body, but not lower body, RE. This could be due to the smaller initial diameter and greater relative change in diameter of the arms as compared to legs. Due to the potential of artificial body fluid changes, users should avoid exercise – particularly upper body exercise – prior to S-MFBA assessments.