## **Comparison Of Oxygen Consumption In 4-second Sprint Interval Exercise with Varied Intensity and Rest Period**

REMZI SATIROGLU, CHRISTOS KYRILLOU, JIETING WANG, EDWARD COYLE

Human Performance Laboratory; Kinesiology Department; the University of Texas at Austin; Austin, TX

## Category: Doctoral

Advisor / Mentor: Coyle, Edward (coyle@austin.utexas.edu)

## ABSTRACT

Sprint interval training (SIT) is usually performed at maximal 'all-out' intensities, that are 5-8-times higher than the power needed to elicit VO<sub>2peak</sub>. However, the %VO<sub>2peak</sub> associated with repeated submaximal power sprints (%P<sub>max</sub>), particularly when paired with varying rest periods, remains unknown. Investigating the %VO<sub>2peak</sub> in repeated submaximal power sprints is pivotal, enabling the personalization of workout routines to specific training goals. PURPOSE: The aim of this study was to systematically investigate the acute physiological responses induced by three levels of %P<sub>max</sub> intensities (50%, 75%, and 100% of P<sub>max</sub>) with three varying rest periods (15, 30, and 45-s) between thirty 4-s sprints. METHODS: Nine healthy (25±4.9), recreationally active participants took part in nine trials, performing thirty 4-s sprints during cycling with inertial loading at three different intensities and rest periods, in randomized order. **RESULTS:** Power outputs varied across differing intensities and rest periods. At  $52\pm0.7$  %P<sub>max</sub> and 74%  $\pm0.3$  %P<sub>max</sub>, the average output was constant within the rest periods. However, the 'all-out' efforts revealed that 15-s rest elicited a lower (p<0.05) %Pmax of 88±9.0 %Pmax compared to  $95\pm3.9\%$ , and  $96\pm5.7\%$  of P<sub>max</sub> for 15 vs. 30, and 45-s of rest (p<0.05). VO<sub>2</sub> varied (p<0.05) across all rest periods: 48±11.3%, 66±13.2%, 84±13.5% of VO<sub>2peak</sub> for 15-s rest; 35±5.8%, 45±10.5%, 66±12.2% VO<sub>2peak</sub> for 30-s rest; and 28±6.7%, 33±5.7%, 54±9.8% VO<sub>2peak</sub> for 45-s rest, corresponding to intensities at 52%, 74%, and 88% of  $P_{max}$ , respectively. Notably, a linear regression model identified the equation for VO<sub>2</sub> (mL/min) = 1088.89 + 28.32(%P<sub>max</sub> intensity) - 38.75(rest in s) (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.91). CONCLUSION: A wide range of VO<sub>2</sub> responses (i.e., 28-84% VO<sub>2peak</sub>) can systematically be elicited by varying the intensity and rest period during repeated 4-s sprints cycling using inertial loading, offering valuable insights for designing personalized training regimens.

**Author Disclosure Information: R. Satiroglu:** ; Enter Name of Ineligible Company with Whom You Have a Financial Relationship; Sports Texas Nutrition Training and Fitness, Inc.. ; Enter the Nature of the Financial Relationship; As a matter of Financial Interests Disclosure, E.F. Coyle owns equity in Sports Texas Nutrition Training and Fitness, Inc., a company that sells the inertial-load ergometer to be used in this study.

International Journal of Exercise Science