Muscle Wins Again: Examining the Relationship Between Maximal Strength and Body Composition Metrics

ANTONELLA V. SCHWARZ & S. KYLE TRAVIS

Human Performance Laboratory; Department of Health Promotion and Clinical Practice, Barry University; Miami Shores, FL Department of Allied Health Professions; Liberty University; Lynchburg, VA

Category: Doctoral

Advisor / Mentor: Travis, S. Kyle (stravis17@liberty.edu)

ABSTRACT

Maximal strength is the greatest force a muscle group can produce during a single maximal effort. Strength is a key determinant of both athletic performance and functional capacity, and understanding its relationship with body composition offers valuable insights for optimizing overall health and physical performance. **PURPOSE**: The purpose of this study was to assess the relationships between maximal strength and various body composition measurements, with the objective of determining whether fatrelated or muscle-related metrics are stronger predictors of strength outcomes. METHODS: Per the available subject pool, trained biological males (n=20; 21.6±1.9yrs; 175.2±5.5cm; 80.3±9.5kg; Dots: 268.7±39.2au) participated in a BodPod analysis to assess body fat percentage (BF%), fat mass (FM), and fat-free mass (FFM). Body mass index (BMI), Fat-mass index (FMI), Fat-free mass index (FFMI), lean body mass (LBM), skeletal muscle estimation (SME), and skeletal muscle quality (SMQ) were computed using basic physiological computations. Maximal strength was assessed through 1repetition-maximum back squat, bench press, and deadlift, then summed together for a maximal strength total (TOT). Pearson correlations and Hopkins effect size were used to evaluate relationships between TOT maximal strength and body composition metrics. Alpha level was set at p≤0.05. **RESULTS**: Significant muscle-related variables demonstrated very large to moderate relationships against TOT, including SMQ (ES=0.880, p<0.001), FFM (ES=0.61, p=0.004), LBM (ES=0.57, p=0.009), and SME (ES=0.57, p=0.008). Significant very large and moderate relationships were also observed for indexed variables FFMI (ES=0.85, p<0.001) and BMI (ES=0.51, p=0.024), but not for FMI (ES=0.18, p=0.441). No meaningful relationships were found fat-related variables FM (ES=0.18, p=0.440) and BF% (ES=0.06, p=0.792). **CONCLUSION**: These findings show that musclerelated metrics, such as SMQ and FFMI, are stronger predictors of strength outcomes when considering body composition variables in males. Incorporating SMQ and FFMI into health assessments offers a free non-invasive way to evaluate functional strength, metabolic health, aging, and disease risk, supporting early detection and intervention.