CALL TO ORDER

Senate Chair Eugene Evans called the meeting to order in Garrett Conference Room at 3:20 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Absent were:  *Carroll Wells
              Joe Glaser
              Robert Haynes
              James Koper
              Terry Leeper
              *Ivan Schieferdecker

              *Sent an observer

MINUTES

The minutes of the September 12 meeting should have shown Emory Alford as present. The minutes were then approved.

SENATE THEME: CHE REPORT

Ms. Pat Kafoglis, a member of the Prichard Committee, reported on the recent report from the CHE. Responding to the October 10, 1985, Courier-Journal editorial faulting the committee's "timidity," she noted that the editor simply did not understand Ed Prichard's concept of compromise. Contrary to the charge of continued duplication, Kafoglis observed that some 200 programs have been eliminated. In her opinion, however, the dental and law schools do represent unnecessary duplication. The Council plans to put a cap on in-state enrollment in these programs to save money, but "time will tell if these measures will work," she noted.

Despite the suspicion that the University of Kentucky and the University of Louisville are receiving a disproportionate share of state funds, Kafoglis insisted that all of Kentucky's universities are underfunded. The word "flagship" does not appear in the report, and the idea of full-formula funding is the Council's top priority. Committee members believe that it is necessary to ask for what is needed three years in advance. Ms. Kafoglis urged the faculty to help in lobbying with the legislature for more funds.

President Paul Cook then addressed the questions supplied by Senator Nancy Davis about the CHE plan. Regarding the implications for Western Kentucky University, he noted a significant progress in tone. First, there was greater emphasis on undergraduate education. Then, the word "unnecessary" has been added to qualify the "duplication" charge, and the word "comprehensive" has been dropped altogether. The report also shows a greater emphasis on faculty quality and an appreciation of that quality. President Cook welcomes faculty response to the report as he prepares the university's response.
Senator Burt Feintuch asked President Cook about the implications of the report for M.A. programs. President Cook responded that enrollment levels, teacher education, and the content of courses were considerations. He observed that some would limit all M.A. programs, while others would use numbers to justify their existence.

ADMINISTRATIVE EVALUATION

Senator Pat Pearson moved, seconded by Senator Nancy Baird, that the subject of administrative evaluation be considered informally. Senate Chair Evans asked President Cook to speak on this issue.

President Cook addressed the questions raised by Thomas Coohill at the last senate meeting. Since then Cook has had meetings with Coohill, the administrative council, and Vice President Haynes on administrative evaluation. He received a report from Haynes' office which satisfied him in regard to the intent of the evaluation process, and he expects the Board of Regent's policy to be carried out. Through no fault of the Board, Cook concluded, there is some awkwardness in the evaluation process, and a review is needed before the 1986-1987 term.

Senate Chair Evans asked if each Senator had a copy of the 1977 motion entitled "Periodic Review of the Administration by Faculty," and noted that the Faculty Senate is obligated to address the matter. Senator Robert Otto moved, seconded by Paul Campbell, that the Senate vote at this meeting to resume its administrative program. Parliamentarian Carl Kell noted that it takes two-thirds of the legal votes cast to suspend discussion and vote at this meeting.

Otto moved, seconded by Campbell, that the decision concerning administrative evaluation be made at this meeting, which meant suspending the rules. Following a close vote, complicated by the fact that some senators were seated at the back of the room, a roll-call vote was taken. More than two-thirds voted to suspend the discussion and decide the issue at the present meeting. Since there was no motion for postponement, the 1977 motion requires the Senate to conduct an evaluation.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to discuss, Senator Chuck Crume moved, seconded by Senator Faye Robinson, that the meeting be adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Helen B. Crocker

Helen B. Crocker, Secretary
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